

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

09/764,175

VANERDEWYK, MICHAEL Z.

William H. Beisner

1744

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) William H. Beisner.

(3) _____,

(2) Mark J. Burns.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 December 2003

Time: 1:00pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

Claim 7

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

WILL H. V.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Burns was informed that the response filed 19 Nov. 2003 was sufficient to overcome the prior art rejections of record. Mr. Burns was informed that the word "pouch" should be changed to --porous container-- to be consistent with the language employed in the beginning of claim 7. It was agreed to make the changes by Examiner's Amendment.